UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/566,962	01/26/2006	Bartel Marinus Van De Sluis	US030250US	9403
	7590 03/31/200 LLECTUAL PROPER	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3001		ADEYIGA, TEMITOPE A		
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2622	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/31/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
		10/566,	62 VAN DE SLUIS ET AL.		ET AL.	
		Examin	er	Art Unit		
		TEMITO	PE ADEYIGA	2622		
: Period for I	The MAILING DATE of this commu Reply	nication appears on t	he cover sheet with th	e correspondence a	ddress	
A SHOF WHICHI - Extensio after SIX - If NO pe - Failure t Any repl	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M ns of time may be available under the provision (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com riod for reply is specified above, the maximum s or reply within the set or extended period for reply by received by the Office later than three months that term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF as of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no munication. tatutory period will apply and y will, by statute, cause the a	FHIS COMMUNICAT event, however, may a reply b will expire SIX (6) MONTHS f pplication to become ABANDO	ON. e timely filed rom the mailing date of this of the content o		
Status						
2a)⊠ TI 3)⊡ Si	esponsive to communication(s) filn nis action is FINAL . Ince this application is in condition osed in accordance with the pract	2b)⊡ This action is for allowance exce∣	non-final. ot for formal matters,	•	e merits is	
Disposition	ı of Claims					
4a 5)□ Cl 6)⊠ Cl 7)□ Cl	laim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the) Of the above claim(s) is/a laim(s) is/are allowed. laim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are rejected. laim(s) is/are objected to. laim(s) are subject to restri	are withdrawn from c				
	-					
10)□ Th Ap Re	e specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on is/are oplicant may not request that any objected the drawing sheet(s) including e oath or declaration is objected the	: a) ☐ accepted or lection to the drawing(s g the correction is requ	be held in abeyance. aired if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 C		
Priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice o	f References Cited (PTO-892) f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) o(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:			

Art Unit: 2622

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 2. Applicant's failure to adequately traverse the Examiner's taking of Official Notice in the rejection of Claim 15 in the last office action is taken as an admission of the fact(s) noticed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

- Claims 1-14 and 16-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable
 over (US 6954229) hereinafter referred to as Otala in view of (US 20030104841)
 hereinafter referred to as Yamamoto in further view of (US 20020113909)
 hereinafter referred to as Sherwood.
 - a. Claim 1 Otala discloses "An apparatus for viewing digital still photographs, the apparatus comprising: data input means for inputting at least one digital data file representing a digital still photograph, the at least one digital data file having at least one of a time and location stamp indicating a time when the photograph was taken or a location where the photograph was taken, respectively [Otala Column 7; lines 32-45]; a display for displaying the

Art Unit: 2622

digital still photograph [Otala Column 7; line 65]; digital still photograph rendering means, coupled to said data input means for receiving the at least one digital data file, for separating the at least one of a time and location stamp from the at least one digital data file, and for applying the at least one digital data file to the display for rendering of the corresponding digital still photograph on the display [Otala Column 4; lines 15-20];"

- i. Otala fails to disclose "ambient alteration means for altering an ambient characteristic based on at least one of the time and location stamps."
- ii. However, Yamamoto discloses a method for displaying time-stamp associated data.
- iii. Therefore, the examiner maintains that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Otala by providing "ambient alteration means, coupled to said digital still photograph rendering means for altering an ambient characteristic based on at least one of the time and location stamps", as disclosed by [Yamamoto ¶0024 and ¶0050] for the purpose of intuitively displaying image data [Yamamoto ¶0051].

Art Unit: 2622

- iv. Otala and Yamamoto are silent to "altering an ambient characteristic in an area proximate to said display."
- v. Sherwood discloses methods for altering interior spaces using multimedia and other sense stimulating methods.
- vi. Therefore, the examiner maintains that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Otala and Yamamoto by providing "altering an ambient characteristic in an area proximate to said display", as disclosed by [Sherwood ¶0015] for the purpose of creating an appearance for an interior space [Sherwood ¶0010].
- b. Claim 2 Otala discloses "the data input means is a media drive for reading the at least one digital data file from a media contained in the media drive." [Otala Column 9; lines 54-62]
- c. Claim 3 Otala discloses "the media drive is one of a CD player, DVD player, floppy drive, and digital camera media card reader."
- d. Claim 4 Otala discloses "the data input means comprises a modem operatively connected to one of a network, storage device, and digital still camera." [Otala Column 4; lines 32-34]

Art Unit: 2622

- e. Claim 5 Otala discloses "the data input means comprises a connector operatively connected through a cable to one of a network, storage device, and digital still camera." [Otala Column 4; lines 32-34]
- f. Claim 6 Otala and Yamamoto disclose the claimed invention except for "a connector wirelessly connected to one of a network, storage device, and digital still camera";
 - i. However the examiner maintains that it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Otala and Yamamoto by providing "a connector wirelessly connected to one of a network, storage device, and digital still camera", as disclosed by [Sherwood ¶0052] since wireless connection is necessary to receive the signals through the medium described by [Sherwood ¶0052 "satellite"].
- g. Claim 7 Yamamoto discloses "the ambient alteration means comprises a lighting system and the ambient characteristic comprises an ambient lighting as effected by said lighting system in an area proximate the display." [Yamamoto ¶0024 and ¶0050]
- h. Claim 8 Yamamoto discloses "the lighting system changes the ambient lighting to reproduce a lighting characteristic

Art Unit: 2622

of at least one of the time when the digital photograph was taken and the location where the digital photograph was taken." [Yamamoto Figure 5 ¶0024 and ¶0050]

- i. Claim 9 Otala discloses "the ambient alteration means comprises an audio rendering system and at least one speaker operatively connected thereto and wherein the ambient characteristic comprises reproducing audio in an area proximate the display." [Otala Column 6; lines 32-42]
- j. Claim 10 Otala discloses "the reproduced audio is characteristic of at least one of the time when the digital photograph was taken and the location where the digital photograph was taken." [Otala Column 6; lines 32-42]
- k. Claim 11 Otala and Yamamoto disclose the claimed invention except for "at least one other display in an area proximate the display, wherein the ambient characteristic comprises the at least one other display";
 - i. However the examiner maintains that it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Otala and Yamamoto by providing "at least one other display in an area proximate the display, wherein the ambient characteristic comprises the at least one other display", as disclosed by [Sherwood ¶0053] for the

Art Unit: 2622

purpose of creating an illusion to an individual standing in the interior space [Sherwood ¶0054].

- I. Claim 12 Sherwood discloses associated data with a particular environment that reads on "a display on the one other display is representative of a historical period within the time when the digital photograph was taken." [Sherwood ¶0028]
 - i. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Otala and Yamamoto by providing "a display on the one other display is representative of a historical period within the time when the digital photograph was taken" as disclosed by Sherwood for the purpose of stimulating senses of the customer[Sherwood ¶0028]
- m. Claim 13 is rejected similarly as applied in the rejection of Claim 12 since the associated data referred to in the rejection of Claim 11 reads on "a display on the one other display is representative of a geographical location where the digital photograph was taken."
- n. Claim 14 Otala and Yamamoto disclose the claimed invention except for "the ambient alteration means comprises an advertisement generation means for generating at least one of an audio

Art Unit: 2622

or visual advertisement on the at least one other display or a speaker operatively connected to the apparatus";

- i. However the examiner maintains that it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide "the ambient alteration means comprises an advertisement generation means for generating at least one of an audio or visual advertisement on the at least one other display or a speaker operatively connected to the apparatus", as disclosed by [Sherwood ¶0038] and ¶0039] for promotion purposes [Sherwood ¶0039].
- o. Claim 16 is rejected as applied in the rejection of Claim 1.
- p. Claim 17 is rejected as applied in the rejection of Claim 1, since the method is inherent to the apparatus of Claim 1.
- q. Claim 18 is rejected as applied in the rejection of Claim 7, since the method is inherent to the apparatus of Claim 7.
- r. Claim 19 is rejected as applied in the rejection of Claim 8, since the method is inherent to the apparatus of Claim 8.
- s. Claim 20 is rejected as applied in the rejection of Claim 9, since the method is inherent to the apparatus of Claim 9.
- t. **Claim 21** is rejected as applied in the rejection of Claim 10, since the method is inherent to the apparatus of Claim 10.

Art Unit: 2622

u. Claim 22 is rejected as applied in the rejection of Claim 11, since the method is inherent to the apparatus of Claim 11.

- v. Claim 23 is rejected as applied in the rejection of Claim 12, since the method is inherent to the apparatus of Claim 12.
- w. Claim 24 is rejected as applied in the rejection of Claim 13, since the method is inherent to the apparatus of Claim 13.
- x. Claim 25 is rejected as applied in the rejection of Claim 14, since the method is inherent to the apparatus of Claim 14.
- y. Claim 26 is rejected as applied in the rejection of Claim 7.
- z. Claim 27 is rejected as applied in the rejection of Claim 9.
- 4. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Otala in view of Yamamoto in view of Sherwood as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Official Notice.
 - a. Claim 15 Otala and Yamamoto disclose the claimed invention except for "the one other display comprises a picture-in-picture display displayed on the display"; However Official Notice is hereby taken that it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide "the one other display comprises a picture-in-picture display displayed on the display", since picture in picture was a well known feature in display devices at the time the invention was made.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2622

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TEMITOPE ADEYIGA whose telephone number is (571)270-3578. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lin Ye can be reached on (571)272-7372. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2622

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/T. A./ Examiner, Art Unit 2622

/Lin Ye/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2622